MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION FINDINGS AND DECISION

PART I: GENERAL INFORMATION	
Type of Requestor: (x) HCP () IE () IC	Response Timely Filed? (x) Yes () No
Requestor's Name and Address Vista Medical Center Hospital	MDR Tracking No.: M4-04-5356-01
4301 Vista Rd. Pasadena, TX 77504	TWCC No.:
	Injured Employee's Name:
Respondent's Name and Address American Casualty Co. of PA/Rep. Box #: 47	Date of Injury:
C/oStone Loughlin & Swanson, LLP P.O. 30111 Austin, TX 78755	Employer's Name: Smith Mobley Inc
	Insurance Carrier's No.: 3A076192

PART II: SUMMARY OF DISPUTE AND FINDINGS

Dates	Dates of Service CPT Code(s) or Description		Amount in Dispute	Amount Due
From	То	Ci i Couc(s) or Description	Amount in Dispute	Amount Duc
2-5-03	2-10-03	Inpatient Hospitalization	\$89,995.00	\$41,746.00

PART III: REQUESTOR'S POSITION SUMMARY

Position summary of February 12, 2004 states, "... In this instance, the audited charges that remain in dispute after the last bill review by the insurance carrier were \$152,335.73. The prior amounts paid by the carrier were \$24,256.80. Therefore, the carrier is required to reimburse the remainder of the Workers' Compensation Reimbursement Amount of \$89,995.00, plus interest..."

PART IV: RESPONDENT'S POSITION SUMMARY

A position summary was not submitted. However, the Explanation of Review states, "(f) the charges for this hospitalization have been reduced based on the fee schedule allowance".

PART V: MEDICAL DISPUTE RESOLUTION REVIEW SUMMARY, METHODOLOGY, AND/OR EXPLANATION

This dispute relates to inpatient services provided in hospital setting with reimbursement subject to the provisions of Rule 134.401 (Acute Care Inpatient Hospital Fee Guideline). The hospital has requested reimbursement according to the stop-loss method contained in that rule. Rule 134.401(c)(6) establishes that the stop-loss method is to be used for "unusually costly services." The explanation that follows this paragraph indicates that in order to determine if "unusually costly services" were provided, the admission must not only exceed \$40,000 in total audited charges, but also involve "unusually extensive services."

After reviewing the documentation provided by both parties, it **does** appear that this particular admission involved "unusually extensive services." In particular, this admission resulted in a hospital stay of 5 days. The operative report of 2-6-03 indicates the patient underwent "... 1. Bilateral laminectomy, L4-L5, L5-S1, S1-S2 with foraminotomies, L4, L5, S1 and S2 bilaterally. 2. Excision of spinous process, portions of L4, complete of L5 and portions of S1. 3. Excision of large disk herniation, L5-S1. 4. Exploration of fusion area with excision of fibrosis, S1-S2. 5. Sacroiliac graft. 6. Anterior interbody fusion, L5-S1 using 11x24 BAK cage. 7. Lateral transverse fusion, L5-S1, S1-S2. 8. Posterolateral facet fusion, L5-S1 and into S1-S2. 9. EBI bone stimulation off the lateral transverse fusion, L5-S2. 10. Bilateral lateral instrumentation, L5-S1 with bilateral ½" rods and single crosslink. 11. Fat graft, L4-S2. Accordingly, the stop-loss method does apply and the reimbursement is to be based on the stop-loss methodology.

In determining the total audited charges, it must be noted that the insurance carrier has indicated some question regarding the charges for the implantables. The requestor billed \$86,098.00 for the implantables. The carrier paid \$18,666.80 for the implantables. The key issue is what amount would represent the usual and customary charges for these implantables in determining the total audited charges. The requestor provided the Commission with documentation on the actual cost of implantables, \$10,883.00.

Based on a review of numerous medical disputes and our experience, the average markup for implantables in many hospitals is 200%. This amount multiplied by the average mark-up of 200% results in an audited charge for implantables equal to \$21,766.00.

The audited charges for this admission, excluding implantables, equals \$66,237.73. This amount plus the above calculated audited

respondent)).	73, the total audited charges. This amount multiplie inbursement amount equal to \$41,746.00 (\$66,002.8)	
Based on the facts of this situation, the parties care provider is entitled to a reimbursement at	s' positions, and the application of the provisions of	Rule 134.401(c), we find that the health
care provider is entitled to a reinfoursement an	mount for these services equal to \$41,740.00.	
PART VI: COMMISSION DECISION AND O	PRDER	
entitled to additional reimbursement in the	althcare services, the Medical Review Division e amount of \$41,746.00. The Division hereby t due at the time of payment to the Requestor w	ORDERS the insurance carrier to
ordered by:	Allen McDonald	6-17-05
Authorized Signature	Typed Name	Date of Order
PART VII: YOUR RIGHT TO REQUEST A H	IEARING	
Either party to this medical dispute may di	isagree with all or part of the Decision and has a	e right to request a hearing. A request
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first works Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	ust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I ion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). To resentatives box on This Defing day after the date the Decision was placed in A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision shall deliver a copy of their written requestion shall deliver a copy of their written requestions.	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 this Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, sion should be attached to the request.
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decision care provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first works Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute.	ust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I ion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). To resentatives box on This Desired the date the Decision was placed in A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision shall deliver a copy of their written requestation shall deliver a copy of their written requestations.	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 this Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, sion should be attached to the request.
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decisicare provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first work: Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in e	ust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I ion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). To resentatives box on This Desired the date the Decision was placed in A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision shall deliver a copy of their written requestation shall deliver a copy of their written requestations.	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 this Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, sion should be attached to the request. It for a hearing to the opposing party or de llamar a 512-804-4812.
(twenty) days of your receipt of this decisicare provider and placed in the Austin Rep days after it was mailed and the first work: Texas Administrative Code § 102.5(d)). A P.O. Box 17787, Austin, Texas, 78744 or The party appealing the Division's Decision involved in the dispute. Si prefiere hablar con una persona in experimental provided in the dispute. I hereby verify that I received a copy of the second	ust be received by the TWCC Chief Clerk of I ion (28 Texas Administrative Code § 148.3). To presentatives box on This Desired ing day after the date the Decision was placed in A request for a hearing should be sent to: Chief faxed to (512) 804-4011. A copy of this Decision shall deliver a copy of their written request aspañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favores companyello de la correspondencia. The companyello deliver a copy of their written request aspañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favores companyello deliver a copy of their written request aspañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favores companyello deliver a copy of their written request aspañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favores companyello deliver a copy of their written request aspañol acerca de ésta correspondencia, favores companyello de la correspondencia de la co	Proceedings/Appeals Clerk within 20 this Decision was mailed to the health ecision is deemed received by you five in the Austin Representative's box (28 Clerk of Proceedings/Appeals Clerk, sion should be attached to the request. It for a hearing to the opposing party or de llamar a 512-804-4812.